



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,039	09/30/2008	Linda Menrik	69409.001017	5751
21967	7590	07/01/2009	EXAMINER	
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			SCRUGGS, ROBERT J	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT				
1900 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200				3723
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,039	MENRIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT SCRUGGS	3723	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Sweden on March 13, 2004. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 0400642-5 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker et al. (7013528) in view of Kisela et al. (2004/0134016).

In reference to claim 1, Parker et al. disclose a floor cleaning implement comprising: a handle (12) pivotally mounted on a base (14), said base supporting a brush arrangement (16) and a dust collecting container (90), the dust collecting container being adapted to receive via a dust inlet (94) dust particles by the brush arrangement (16), said base also being provided with a cloth holder (110) having a plate (116) facing the floor (Figure 12), the plate (116) being adapted to be covered by a dust cloth (118), but lack, a liquid container and means for distributing liquid directly or indirectly to the cloth. However, Kisela et al. teach a technique of providing a floor cleaning device (10) with a liquid container (36) and means formed as nozzles (22 and 24) for distributing

liquid directly or indirectly to cloth (70). One of ordinary skill in the art could have applied the known technique of providing a floor cleaning device with a liquid container and means formed as nozzles for distributing liquid directly or indirectly to cloth, as taught by Kisela et al., in the same way to the device, of Parker et al., and the results would have been predictable. In this situation, one could provide a liquid delivery system attached to a cloth holder for delivering liquid onto the surface thereby more effectively removing material from the surface during cleaning.

In reference to claim 2, Parker et al. also disclose that the brush arrangement includes at least one electrically driven brush (32) (Column 3, Lines 6-10).

In reference to claim 7, Parker et al. also show that the cloth holder (110) is removably arranged on the base (14) (Figure 12).

In reference to claim 8, Kisela et al. also show that the cloth holder (12) is provided with said liquid distributing means (22 and 24) (Figure 5).

In reference to claim 9, Kisela et al. also show that the liquid container (36) is an integrated part of said cloth holder (12) (Figures 1, 4 and 5).

4. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker et al. (7013528) in view of Kisela et al. (2004/0134016) and Frazer (3319278).

In reference to claim 3, Parker et al. disclose the claimed invention previously mentioned above, but lack, using two brush rolls arranged such that the brush axes are non parallel. However, Frazer teaches a technique of forming a cleaning device with two brush rolls arranged such that the brush axes are non parallel (16) (Figure 2). One of ordinary skill in the art could have applied the known technique of forming a cleaning device with two brush rolls arranged such that the brush axes are non parallel, as taught by Frazer, in the same way to the device, of Parker et al., and the results would have been predictable. In this situation, one could provide a cleaning device with brushes arranged in a V-shape with the vertex angle being selectively adjustable between acute and obtuse angles thereby more effectively removing material from the surface being cleaned.

In reference to claim 4, Frazer also shows that the said brush axes are arranged in a V-shaped pattern (Figure 2).

In reference to claim 5, Frazer also shows that the tip of the V is placed in the forward movement direction of the implement (Figure 2).

In reference to claim 6, since Parker et al. already teach that the dust inlet (94) corresponds to the angular displacement of brush (32) therefore the combination of Parker et al. (7013528) in view of Kisela et al. and Frazer would obviously provide a dust inlet corresponding to the angular displacement of brushes (16).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huffman et al. (7320149) also teach the concept of having a cleaning device that includes a cloth (42) and a rotating brush (34) mounted to a base (12)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT SCRUGGS whose telephone number is (571)272-8682. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ROBERT SCRUGGS/
Examiner, Art Unit 3723